Appendix 2a: Proposer's Pre-Checks and Information Proposal Template (not Legacies)

Proposer:
Line manager or appropriate member of Management Team support confirmed:
Brief description of proposal:
Democratic & Legal Support Team asked to identify potential dates for Panel meeting by:

Pre-checks (to be completed prior to Panel meeting): NB all information will be assumed to be non-confidential under FOI unless marked confidential for a particular reason

Check:	Confirmation from:	Outcome of check:
Whether Due Diligence Panel	Head of Finance	
process applies or whether a	Senior Legal officer	
different process applies e.g. is it a		
commercial decision, a procurement		
decision		
Whether proposal is valued	Line manager -	
(including in kind) over £5,000	please consult Head	
requiring Panel consideration or less	of Finance or Senior	
requiring notification to Democratic	Legal officer if in	
and Legal Support Team	doubt	
Whether proposal is within our powers	Senior Legal officer	
Identity and financial check: Money laundering/source of wealth	Head of Finance	
Identity and financial check: Company House/bankruptcy	Legal Team	
Environmental credentials:	Proposer with	
Environmental policy read and	interested party	
checked as ok if available		
Environmental credentials:	Internal specialists:	
consultation with internal specialists	Cultural Heritage,	
as appropriate to check any	Natural Environment	
reputational issues	and Rural Economy,	
	Access and ROW	
	team	
Environmental credentials: Internet search	Proposer	
Conflict of interest/impact on	Planning Service,	
reputation:	Natural Environment	
Planning and enforcement history	and Rural Economy	
Potential for future regulatory	Team, and interested	
contact	party	
Conflict of interest/impact on	Head of Planning	
reputation:	Head of Information	
Policy development	and Performance	
Conflict of interest from	Management	
Conflict of interest/impact on	Proposer with	
reputation:	interested party	
Procurement history and potential	Logal Tages	
Conflict of interest/impact on	Legal Team	
reputation:		

County court judgements		
Conflict of interest/impact on	Proposer through	
reputation:	internet check above	
Promoted/campaign association		
with a particular political party		
Do NPUK need to be advised due to	Head of Engagement	
implications for national		
sponsorship policy/strategy?		

Further Proposal Material Required:

Details of interested party including nature of business	
Contribution of proposal to corporate strategy	
Fit with brand values	
Reasons why interested party wants to develop a relationship with PDNPA and why is a mutually beneficial arrangement	
What the interested party expects from the Authority in return	
Environmental gains- the Policy refers to the Authority will seek to work with organisations with high and recognised environmental performance or who are seeking to improve their own environmental performance, or whose products seek to support ethical or green consumer behaviour	
Possible risks identified by proposer in progressing proposals and suggestions for mitigating action	
Proposer's next steps following conclusion of Panel	
Any other relevant information	